**Remarks** 

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed

June 11, 2008.

SUMMARY OF APPLICANTS' AMENDMENTS

The present reply amends Claims 1, 11, 18, and 25, leaving for Examiner's present

consideration Claims 1-34. Reconsideration of the Application, as amended, is respectfully

requested.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

In the Office Action mailed June 11, 2008, Claims 1-6, 9-13, 16-20 and 23-34 were

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson (U.S. Patent Number

7,093,005) in view of "Java Server Startup". Claims 7, 14, and 21 were rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson in view of Sommerer ("The Java Archive

(JAR) File Format"). Claims 8 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Patterson in view of Aziz et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,597,956 hereafter

Aziz).

Claims 1, 11, 18, and 25

As amended, Claim 1 defines that the first user interface includes a silent mode in which

the user can specify at least one operation for the first user interface to perform using a user-

defined script.

Patterson does not disclose a silent mode as defined in Claim 1. Instead, Patterson

appears to disclose a design phase during which a logical description of a data center, including

icons representing data center elements and logical interconnections between the elements, is

created and stored.

In view of the above comments, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1, as currently

amended, is neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of the cited references, and

reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 11, 18 and 25 have been similarly amended to more clearly define the

embodiments therein. For similar reasons as provided above with respect to Claim 1, Applicant

respectfully submits that Claims 11, 18 and 25, as amended, are likewise neither anticipated by,

-8-

Application No. 10/780,497

Office Action mailed: June 11, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated: September 10, 2008

nor obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully

requested.

Claims 2-10, 12-17, 19-24, and 26-34

Claims 2-10, 12-17, 19-24, and 26-34 have not been addressed separately. However,

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-10, 12-17, 19-24, and 26-34 are allowable as

depending from an allowable independent claim and further in view of the additional features of

these claims. Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-10, 12-17, 19-24, and 26-34 are

similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration

thereof is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the

claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration

thereof is respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the

undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this reply, including any fee

for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 10, 2008

By: \_\_ /Nathan L. Feld/

Nathan L. Feld

Reg. No. 59725

Customer No. 23910

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

650 California Street, 14th Floor

San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

- 9 -